

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

32692

Customer Number

JAN 25 2005

Patent
Case No.: 58831US002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: DATILO, JEROME P.

Application No.: 10/658019

Group Art Unit: 2839

Filed: September 9, 2003

Examiner: Phuong K. Dinh

Title: INTERCONNECT SYSTEM

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

<p align="center">CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]</p> <p>I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9300.</p> <p><u>Jan 25, 2005</u> <u>Melanie Gover</u></p> <p>Date Signed by: Melanie G. Gover</p>

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the outstanding Office Action, dated September 20, 2004, in the above-identified application.

Under the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.136(a), Applicant petitions to extend the period for filing a reply in the above-identified application. The requested extension and appropriate fee are as follows (check time period desired):

- ☐ 37 CFR § 1.17(a)(1) - Extension within first month
- ☒ 37 CFR § 1.17(a)(2) - Extension within second month
- ☐ 37 CFR § 1.17(a)(3) - Extension within third month
- ☐ 37 CFR § 1.17(a)(4) - Extension within fourth month.

Please charge any fees due, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3723.

One copy of this sheet marked duplicate is also enclosed.

02/01/2005 TDAWKINS 00000003 133723 10658019

01 FC:1252 450.00 DA

Application No.: 10/658019

Case No.: 58831US002

For these reasons, Applicant(s) submit that the cited references will not support a 103(a) rejection of the claims invention and request that the rejection be withdrawn.

In addition to the foregoing arguments, Applicant(s) submit that a dependent claim should be considered allowable when its parent claim is allowed. *In re McCairn*, 1012 USPQ 411 (CCPA 1954). Accordingly, provided the independent claims are allowed, all claims depending therefrom should also be allowed.

Based on the foregoing, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. Withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 is requested. Examination and reconsideration of the claims are requested. Allowance of the claims at an early date is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Jan 25, 2005
Date

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833

By: Melanie Gover
Melanie G. Gover, Reg. No.: 41,793
Telephone No.: (512) 984-4308